CCR Workshop 2: Water System Data

6/10/99

General Background:

This is a surface water system serving a population of 400 persons through 120 service connections. The system has a single conventional water treatment plant on Mary's River, one ground-level storage tank and a booster pump station.

Water System Information:

Water System Name: Epoch, XX

Address: P.O. Box 111

Epoch, XX 55555

Contact Person: Jolene Smith, Operator, (406) 123-4567

Board Meetings: First Tuesday of each month at 7:00pm, Firehall

1998 Monitoring Data:

	Bacteriological Monite	oring Results	
Date	Type of Sample	Number of Samples	Laboratory Result
12/21/98	routine	1	0
11/16/98	routine	1	0
10/28/98	routine	1	0
9/21/98	routine	1	0
8/18/98	routine	1	0
8/06/98	additional routine*	2	0
7/29/98	additional routine*	6	0
7/22/98	routine	1	0
6/16/98	routine	1	0
5/26/98	routine	1	0
4/14/98	routine	1	0
3/24/98	routine	1	0
2/17/98	routine	1	0
1/21/98	routine	1	0

^{*} A TT violation occurred due to finished water exceeding 5.0 NTU in July. A Boil Water Order was issued and additional monitoring was required.

Organic Chemical Contai	ninants - Sampled 12/22/98
Contaminant(s)	Concentration Detected
All	No Detects
Inorganic Chemical Conta	minants - Sampled 12/22/98
Contaminant	Concentration Detected (mg/L)
Barium	0.060
Nitrate	0.18
Fluoride	0.22
Arsenic	0.026
Secondary Contamir	nants - Sampled 1/3/95
Contaminant	Concentration Detected
Alkalinity	145
рН	7.93
Hardness	252
Sodium	42.5
Manganese	0.119
Iron	<0.01

Lead a	and Copper Rule C	ompliance
Required Monitoring	90% Results	Comments
Reduced tap water monitoring to once per three years during June through September. Reduced monitoring began 9/30/96	Pb: 0.004 ppm Cu: 0.04 ppm	12/23/98 Pb-Cu samples not collected during required quarter and failed to collect the correct number of samples.*
Baseline Monitoring 9/30/96	Pb: 0.007 Cu: 0.03	10 tap water samples collected. None were over the action level for either contaminant.

^{*} State will require monitoring for this compliance period during June-Sept. 1999.

	Filtration and Disinfe Treatment Technic	· ·
Date	Performance Information	Data
July, 1998	Filtration Effluent Turbidity Exceeded 5 NTU	Max: 12 NTU Duration Over 5 NTU: 72 hours Cause: Alum Overfeed
July, 1998	Lowest monthly percentage of samples meeting the filtered water effluent limit of 0.5 NTU or less.	Lowest monthly percentage of samples meeting the 0.5 NTU or less limit: 87% in July, 1998*
July, 1998	Lowest Monthly Percentage of Samples Meeting the 5 NTU max turbidity limit	Lowest Monthly Percentage of samples meeting the 5 NTU limit: 90% in July, 1998**

^{*} Calculated by computing 96 hours of violation monitored at 4 hour intervals for 24 data points above 0.5 NTU. Total data points for the month is 180 (30 days times 6 data points per day if monitored every four hours). 24 = 13% of 180 so the lowest monthly percentage is 100% - 13% = 87%

^{**}Calculated by computing 72 hours of violation monitored at 4 hour intervals for 18 data points above 5 NTU. Total data points for the month is 180 (30 days times 6 data points per day if monitored every four hours). 18 = 10% of 180 so the Lowest Monthly Percentage is 100% - 10% = 90%

This page intentionally left blank.

CCR Workshop 2: Water System Data Questions

6/10/99

For the water system data provided in Workshop 2, answer the following questions regarding data that must be reported, method of data presentation, mathematical conversions to CCR units and additional information that must be included.

1. Bacteriological Monitoring Results

	Give CCR	_	l, indicate	what, if any data must b	e reported in	n the system's
		hat format would the beled, if applicable		e presented and how wou	ld the colum	nns of the table
Contamina	ant					
1c.	Wha	t, if any, additional	informati	ion is required due to the	monitoring	results.

2. Or:	2a.		en the da		ed, indicate wh	at, if any data	must be rep	ported in the	system's
	2b.			nat would f applicab	the data be prele?	esented and ho	w would th	e columns of	f the table
Cont	amina	ant							
	2c.	Wha	at, if any	, addition	al information	is required due	to the mon	itoring resul	ts?
3.	Inor	gan	ic Chem	nical Con	taminant Data	1			
	3a.	Giv CCI		nta provid	ed, indicate wh	at, if any data	must be rep	oorted in the	system's

3b In what format would the data be presented and how would the columns be labeled, if applicable?

Contaminant				

3c.	What, if any, additional information is required due to the monitoring results?
-	

4. Lead and Copper Rule Compliance

4a.	Given the data provided, indicate what, if any data must be reported in the system's
	CCR?
	cer.

Contamina	,,							
Jontanina	"		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
40 V	What if an	v odditio	nal inform	notion is ro	guirad dua	to the monit	toring regul	lta 9
4c. V	Vhat, if an	y, additio	onal inform	nation is re	quired due	to the monit	toring resul	lts?
Trace	mont Too	huiana V	/iolotions	and other	violetiene	of NDDW/	D _a	
5a. (Given the diolations d	lata provi of NPDW	ded, indic	eate what, if	any additi	of NPDWlonal informan's CCR? (ation regar	_
5a. (Given the diolations d	lata provi of NPDW	ded, indic	eate what, if	any additi	onal informa	ation regar	_
5a. (Given the diolations d	lata provi of NPDW	ded, indic	eate what, if	any additi	onal informa	ation regar	_
5a. (Given the diolations d	lata provi of NPDW	ded, indic	eate what, if	any additi	onal informa	ation regar	_
5a. (Given the diolations d	lata provi of NPDW	ded, indic	eate what, if	any additi	onal informa	ation regar	_